IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.667 OF 2006
IN
APPEAL (L) NO.91 OF 2006
IN
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 610 OF 2005
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.404 OF 2004
IN
SUMMONS FOR JUDGMENT NO.874 OF 2001
IN
SUMMARY SUIT NO.2061 OF 2001

Sudarshan Kumar Luthra .. Applicant
V/s
Pravin Padamshi Gada & Ors. .. Respondents

Mr.O.A.Das for the Applicant.

CORAM : DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN & S.J.VAZIFDAR, JJ.

DATE : 7TH APRIL, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Counsel for the Applicant. None appears for the Respondents though served. The learned Counsel for the Applicant undertakes to file affdiavit of service. By this Notice of Motion, the Applicant is seeking condonation of 47 days delay. Perused the Notice of Motion and the affidavit-in-support thereof. For the reasons stated in the affidavit-in-support of the Notice of Motion, sufficient cause is made out for condoning the delay. There is no case of inaction, negligence or want of bonafide on the part of the Applicant. Hence, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause(a).

(DR.S.RADHAKRISHNAN,J.)

(S.J.VAZIFDAR,J.)